

COMPOSITE SAMPLE BALLOT

COMPOSITE SAMPLE BALLOT

COMPOSITE SAMPLE BALLOT

COMPOSITE SAMPLE BALLOT

Composite Sample Ballot November 5, 2024 Orange County, Florida			This composite sample ballot shows ALL contests in this election that can be voted on by <u>voters registered in Orange County, Florida</u>. The voter's actual ballot will only have the contests that affect their precinct. This document is for reference only!		
Instructions: To vote, fill in the oval completely (●) next to your choice. Use a black ballpoint pen. If you make a mistake, ask for a new ballot. Do not cross out or your vote may not count. To vote for a write-in candidate, fill in the oval and print the name clearly on the blank line provided for the write-in candidate.					
Partisan Federal Offices			State Representative District 35 (Vote for 1)		Sixth District Court of Appeal
President and Vice President (Vote for 1)					Shall Judge Paetra Brownlee of the Sixth District Court of Appeal be retained in office?
Donald J. Trump JD Vance REP Kamala D. Harris Tim Walz DEM Chase Oliver Mike ter Maat LPF Claudia De la Cruz Karina Garcia PSL Randall Terry Stephen Broden CPF Peter Sonski Lauren Onak ASP Jill Stein Rudolph Ware GRE Write-in			Erika Booth REP Tom Keen DEM		
			State Representative District 37 (Vote for 1)		Shall Judge Roger Gannam of the Sixth District Court of Appeal be retained in office?
			Susan Plasencia REP Nate Douglas DEM		Yes No
			State Representative District 39 (Vote for 1)		Shall Judge Joshua Mize of the Sixth District Court of Appeal be retained in office?
			Doug Bankson REP Marsha Summersill DEM		Yes No
			State Representative District 40 (Vote for 1)		Shall Judge Jared Smith of the Sixth District Court of Appeal be retained in office?
United States Senator (Vote for 1)					Yes No
Rick Scott REP Debbie Mucarsel-Powell DEM Feena Bonoan LPF Tuan TQ Nguyen NPA Ben Everidge NPA Write-in			Belinda Ford REP LaVon Bracy Davis DEM		Shall Judge Keith White of the Sixth District Court of Appeal be retained in office?
			State Representative District 42 (Vote for 1)		Yes No
			Gregory Pull REP Anna V. Eskamani DEM		Circuit Judge, 9th Judicial Circuit Group 15 (Vote for 1)
Representative in Congress District 8 (Vote for 1)			State Representative District 43 (Vote for 1)		Jeffrey Ashton Alicia L. Peyton
Mike Haridopolos REP Sandy Kennedy DEM			Joseph "Joe" Melendez REP Johanna Lopez DEM		Nonpartisan County Offices
Representative in Congress District 9 (Vote for 1)			State Representative District 45 (Vote for 1)		County Commissioner District 1 (Vote for 1)
Thomas Chalifoux REP Darren Soto DEM Marcus Carter NPA			Carolina Amesty REP Leonard Spencer DEM		Austin Arthur Nicole H. Wilson
Representative in Congress District 10 (Vote for 1)			State Representative District 47 (Vote for 1)		County Commissioner District 3 (Vote for 1)
Willie J. Montague REP Maxwell Alejandro Frost DEM			Paula A. Stark REP Maria Revelles DEM		Linda Stewart Mayra Uribe
Representative in Congress District 11 (Vote for 1)			Partisan County Office		County Commissioner District 5 (Vote for 1)
			Special Election		Steve Leary Kelly Semrad
Daniel Webster REP Barbie Harden Hall DEM			Supervisor of Elections (Vote for 1)		School Board Member District 4 (Vote for 1)
Partisan State Offices					Anne Douglas Kyle Roger Goudy
State Attorney, 9th Judicial Circuit (Vote for 1)					
Monique Worrell DEM Andrew Bain NPA			Nonpartisan Judicial Offices		Soil and Water Conservation District Supervisor Seat 2 (Vote for 1)
State Senator District 13 (Vote for 1)			Florida Supreme Court		Jimm Middleton Jim Moyer Vicki Vargo
Keith Truenow REP Stephanie L. Dukes DEM			Shall Justice Renatha Francis of the Supreme Court be retained in office?		
			Yes No		
State Senator District 25 (Vote for 1)			Shall Justice Meredith Sasso of the Supreme Court be retained in office?		Soil and Water Conservation District Supervisor Seat 4 (Vote for 1)
Jose Martinez REP Kristen Arrington DEM			Yes No		Karolyn C. Campbell Dena Krueger

COMPOSITE SAMPLE BALLOT

COMPOSITE SAMPLE BALLOT

COMPOSITE SAMPLE BALLOT

COMPOSITE SAMPLE BALLOT

Constitutional Amendments		County Questions	
No. 1 Constitutional Amendment Article IX, Section 4 and Article XII		County Charter Amendment #1	
Partisan Election of Members of District School Boards Proposing amendments to the State Constitution to require members of a district school board to be elected in a partisan election rather than a nonpartisan election and to specify that the amendment only applies to elections held on or after the November 2026 general election. However, partisan primary elections may occur before the 2026 general election for purposes of nominating political party candidates to that office for placement on the 2026 general election ballot. Yes No		Amendment Revising Orange County Charter Initiative Petition Process Revising the charter initiative petition process by lowering petition requirements for charter amendments from 10 percent of registered voters in each commission district to 5 percent in each commission district, and for ordinances from 7 percent in each district to 3 percent in each district; removing signature withdrawal procedures; and revising financial impact statement, public hearing, legal review, and petition affidavit requirements. Estimated financial impact: \$7,000 savings per proposed ballot question. Yes No	
No. 2 Constitutional Amendment Article I, Section 28		County Charter Amendment #2	
Right to Fish and Hunt Proposing an amendment to the State Constitution to preserve forever fishing and hunting, including by the use of traditional methods, as a public right and preferred means of responsibly managing and controlling fish and wildlife. Specifies that the amendment does not limit the authority granted to the Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission under Section 9 of Article IV of the State Constitution. Yes No		Orange County Charter Amendment Requiring Continued Existence of Affordable Housing Trust Fund Amending the County Charter to require the continued existence of an Affordable Housing Trust Fund used to create and preserve affordable housing, which may be funded by fees from new commercial and residential development and other sources, all as directed by the County Commission, and to provide for purposes, revenue sources, appropriation and expenditure of funds, annual audit, continuing nature, implementation by ordinance, administration, and oversight of the Trust Fund. No financial impact. Yes No	
No. 3 Constitutional Amendment Article X, Section 29		Yes No	
Adult Personal Use of Marijuana Allows adults 21 years or older to possess, purchase, or use marijuana products and marijuana accessories for non-medical personal consumption by smoking, ingestion, or otherwise; allows Medical Marijuana Treatment Centers, and other state licensed entities, to acquire, cultivate, process, manufacture, sell, and distribute such products and accessories. Applies to Florida law; does not change, or immunize violations of, federal law. Establishes possession limits for personal use. Allows consistent legislation. Defines terms. Provides effective date. The amendment's financial impact primarily comes from expected sales tax collections. If legal today, sales of non-medical marijuana would be subject to sales tax and would remain so if voters approve this amendment. Based on other states' experiences, expected retail sales of non-medical marijuana would generate at least \$195.6 million annually in state and local sales tax revenues once the retail market is fully operational, although the timing of this occurring is unclear. Under current law, the existing statutory framework for medical marijuana is repealed six months after the effective date of this amendment which affects how this amendment will be implemented. A new regulatory structure for both medical and nonmedical use of marijuana will be needed. Its design cannot be fully known until the legislature acts; however, regulatory costs will probably be offset by regulatory fees. Other potential costs and savings cannot be predicted. THIS PROPOSED CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT IS ESTIMATED TO HAVE A NET POSITIVE IMPACT ON THE STATE BUDGET. THIS IMPACT MAY RESULT IN GENERATING ADDITIONAL REVENUE OR AN INCREASE IN GOVERNMENT SERVICES. Yes No		County Charter Amendment #3	
No. 4 Constitutional Amendment Article 1, New Section		Write-In Candidate Effect on Timing of Charter Officer Elections Amending the Orange County Charter to provide that in elections for Charter offices (currently the County Mayor and County Commissioners), write-in candidates shall not count toward the number of qualified candidates (three or more) necessary to trigger an election at the August primary. No financial impact. Yes No	
Amendment to Limit Government Interference with Abortion No law shall prohibit, penalize, delay, or restrict abortion before viability or when necessary to protect the patient's health, as determined by the patient's healthcare provider. This amendment does not change the Legislature's constitutional authority to require notification to a parent or guardian before a minor has an abortion. The proposed amendment would result in significantly more abortions and fewer live births per year in Florida. The increase in abortions could be even greater if the amendment invalidates laws requiring parental consent before minors undergo abortions and those ensuring only licensed physicians perform abortions. There is also uncertainty about whether the amendment will require the state to subsidize abortions with public funds. Litigation to resolve those and other uncertainties will result in additional costs to the state government and state courts that will negatively impact the state budget. An increase in abortions may negatively affect the growth of state and local revenues over time. Because the fiscal impact of increased abortions on state and local revenues and costs cannot be estimated with precision, the total impact of the proposed amendment is indeterminate. THE FINANCIAL IMPACT OF THIS AMENDMENT CANNOT BE DETERMINED DUE TO AMBIGUITIES AND UNCERTAINTIES SURROUNDING THE AMENDMENT'S IMPACT. Yes No		County Charter Amendment #4	
No. 5 Constitutional Amendment Article VII, Section 6 and Article XII		Orange County Charter Amendment Requiring Adoption of a Fiscal Sustainability Analysis Tool Amending the County Charter to require that no later than October 2025, the County shall adopt a fiscal sustainability analysis methodology and process to enable the County to evaluate the impacts of proposed Urban Service Area expansions and proposed development in Rural Settlements and Rural Service Area on the County's capacity to efficiently provide and maintain infrastructure and services over the County Comprehensive Plan's longest-range planning period. Comptroller estimated financial impact: Indeterminate financial impact. Yes No	
Annual Adjustments to the Value of Certain Homestead Exemptions Proposing an amendment to the State Constitution to require an annual adjustment for inflation to the value of current or future homestead exemptions that apply solely to levies other than school district levies and for which every person who has legal or equitable title to real estate and maintains thereon the permanent residence of the owner, or another person legally or naturally dependent upon the owner is eligible. This amendment takes effect January 1, 2025. Yes No		County Charter Amendment #5	
No. 6 Constitutional Amendment Article VI, Section 7		Orange County Charter Amendment Establishing Charter Office of County Attorney Amending the Orange County Charter to establish an office of the County Attorney, who shall be the County's chief legal counsel, appointed by the County Mayor and confirmed by a majority of the full County Commission, and removed by either the County Mayor or a majority of the full County Commission. No financial impact. Yes No	
Repeal of Public Campaign Financing Requirement Proposing the repeal of the provision in the State Constitution which requires public financing for campaigns of candidates for elective statewide office who agree to campaign spending limits. Yes No			

County Charter Amendment #6	County Charter Amendment #9	
Orange County Charter Amendment Increasing Number of County Commission Districts Amending the Orange County Charter to increase the number of County Commission districts from six single-member districts to eight single-member districts, resulting in a nine-member County Commission (eight Commissioners plus County Mayor) effective for the 2026 General Election, while ensuring that term limits on sitting County Commissioners continue to apply upon the transition to eight districts. Estimated financial impact: One Time Costs - \$2.6 million; Annual Recurring Costs - \$1.3 million. ☐ Yes ☐ No	Orange County Charter Amendment Establishing Rural Boundary and Rural Area ("Area") Establishing a Rural Area (unincorporated lands located outside the County's Urban Service Area, municipal joint planning areas, Growth Centers, Innovation Way Overlay, Horizon West Villages, and Boggy Creek Expansion Area on the effective date) where County comprehensive plan amendments increasing density or intensity, and ordinances removing lands from the Rural Area, must be approved by a majority-plus-one vote of the entire membership of the County Commission. ☐ Yes ☐ No	
County Charter Amendment #7	County Charter Amendment #10	
Charter Amendment Creating Transportation Mobility Advisory Commission Amending the Orange County Charter to create a Transportation Mobility Advisory Commission empowered to hold public hearings and make recommendations to the County Commission on: (1) proposed expenditures for transportation purposes prior to inclusion in the proposed County annual budget; (2) transportation and mobility innovation; and (3) review of past transportation expenditures; and to provide for County Commission appointment, funding of operating expenses, organization, and staff assistance. Comptroller estimated financial impact: \$725,000 cost annually. ☐ Yes ☐ No	Charter Amendment Establishing a Process For Voluntary Municipal Annexations And Land Use in Rural Areas Amend the County Charter to establish a process for voluntary municipal annexation and provide that the comprehensive plan and land development regulations of Orange County exclusively govern within rural areas when lands are subsequently annexed into a municipality with Orange County having the authority to approve or deny voluntary annexations by an affirmative vote of not less than a majority plus one vote of the entire county commission. ☐ Yes ☐ No	
County Charter Amendment #8	School Sales Surtax Referendum	
Supermajority County Commission Vote to Dispose of or Change Use of "County Protected Lands" Amending the Orange County Charter to define "County Protected Lands" as County owned (in whole or in part), operated, or maintained public parks and recreation areas, and environmentally sensitive lands acquired by the County for environmental, ecological, or recreational purposes; and requiring that any County Commission action authorizing the disposition of County Protected Lands or change to another use must be approved by a majority-plus-one County Commission vote. No financial impact. ☐ Yes ☐ No	One-Half Cent Sales Surtax for School Facilities Construction, Improvement, Land Acquisition, and Technology Implementation Shall the School Board of Orange County, Florida continue the one-half cent sales surtax to fund the construction, reconstruction and improvement of school facilities, and land acquisition, land improvement and design and engineering costs, including any bond indebtedness, and the cost of retrofitting and providing technology implementation, beginning January 1, 2026 and ending December 31, 2035, shared proportionately with charter schools as legally required, with continued oversight by an independent citizens' committee? ☐ For the one-half cent tax ☐ Against the one-half cent tax	